

METHOD FOR MONITORING AT LEAST ONE SENSOR

Background Information

The present invention starts out from a method for monitoring at least one sensor according to the species of the independent claim.

5 Advantages of the Invention

The method of the present invention for monitoring at least one sensor having the features of the independent claim has the advantage that a reaction adapted to an error pattern having a certain error message may occur and that each sensor error is prevented from resulting in the sensor signal not
10 being able to be used or in the system identifying a permanent sensor error and consequently a system defect. As a result, interference that does not signify lasting sensor damage and may even be attributed to rare yet possible operating states
15 is not penalized too strictly. Examples of this are electromagnetic interference coupling and hammer blow-related vibrations. This improves the operability or sensitivity of the systems and reduces the probability of control unit field outage. In particular, the present invention renders it
20 possible via adaptation and application for the particular target control unit and vehicle to better address the different system requirements or customer requirements with respect to activation parameters and error management.

Consequently, the performance and robustness of the entire
25 system are able to be increased, and the field outage rates are able to be reduced since as a result of the more in-depth error pattern detection, total failure is not detected for all error patterns. In addition, the present invention is

advantageous because error detection and optimization are able to be facilitated in the restraint system development and testing phase. However, use of the present invention is also possible and practical in other automobile application areas in which sensors are used, in particular in vehicle dynamics control and navigation.

It is particularly advantageous for the parameters from which the error pattern is formed to include at least one error message and/or a time duration of the at least one error message or error and/or at least one sensor signal of the sensor to be checked and/or at least one additional sensor signal of at least one additional sensor and/or at least one status signal of a vehicle component. This allows exact analysis of the error which may be corrected in particular using a look-up table. The error pattern is generated using the look-up table in the processor of the control unit and may then be evaluated accordingly. In particular it is consequently possible for the control unit to detect whether the error is a permanent error and how significant the error is.

The measures and further refinements specified in the dependent claims render possible advantageous improvements of the method for monitoring at least one sensor recited in the independent claim.

It is particularly advantageous that a rotation-rate sensor is used in which an error word is transmitted in an 8-bit word, provided with the abbreviation MONI in this case, in a 16-bit frame. In this context, the error type or modes are characterized in this word by flags. Therefore, different detected error modes and extraordinary operating states are indicated by this word. Error modes indicate that at least one sensor parameter is outside of a predefined range. The sensor parameters are monitored inside the sensor. The word MONI may

be accordingly assigned information doubly or multiply via two or more different MONI read commands.

If during such a monitoring operation an error is detected, a respective value is set in a respective register. This means that an error register is assigned a logical 1. If this error is no longer detected, the respective register is reset. Therefore, a logical 0 is set again.

It is also advantageous that the sensor itself is positioned within the housing of the control unit. The sensor and the processor of the control unit are then connected to one another via the digital interface and preferably SPI (serial peripheral interface) lines, respectively.

Drawing

Exemplary embodiments of the present invention are shown in the drawing and are explained more closely in the following description.

The figures show:

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of the control unit of the present invention;

Figure 2 shows a data telegram of the present invention;

Figure 3 shows a look-up table

Figure 4 a block diagram of the method of the present invention; and

Figure 5 shows a flow chart of the method of the present invention.

Description

Rotation-rate sensors, as well as other sensors, are used increasingly in electronic restraint systems for passive vehicle safety. Rotation-rate sensors are integral in the detection of rollover occurrences and related accident occurrences, e.g. the soil trip.

The rotation-rate sensors used in the restraint systems generally have an internal sensor monitoring function. In the case of analog sensor interfaces to the system, an identified error or interference state that may have a wide range of causes is communicated via a logic signal (error, no error) to a sensor platform, a control unit, generally a microcontroller, or a safety semiconductor.

Digital sensors provide the possibility that is relatively simple to implement in technical terms to transmit different errors and interference states to the system via fixedly assigned bits in data words. In this context, every bit is assigned an error flag that is then assigned to a circuit block or a measured variable inside the sensor. The internal sensor monitoring function generally responds when and as long as one of the various monitored measured variables inside the sensor assumes a value not allowed for the corresponding sensor. The causes vary in relation to the sensor evaluation circuit function blocks.

Possible causes of interference or error states:

In the case of rotation-rate sensors used in automobile systems, principle-related vibration or mechanical interference susceptibility is a characteristic property to be taken into consideration in the sensor application. If no mechanical damping occurs, the performance of the systems is imitated. Mechanical damper construction entails significant additional development and production costs. Impacts and significant vibrations may interfere with the sensor element

so significantly that internal sensor regulations are no longer effective for a certain time and the sensor is outside its specified function range. Further possible interference includes electromagnetic interference coupling. Errors in the sensor itself or in the application circuit may also affect system functioning. The effects differ depending on rotation-rate sensor error, interference type, and also application environment of the sensor, i.e., the circuit, circuit board, and vehicle construction.

To date, the rotation-rate sensor monitoring function has been used on the system side only for basic error detection. This means to determine whether the sensor is OK. The restraint system differentiates with respect to its reaction over the time duration of the error message on the basis of whether there is rotation-rate sensor failure. The particular system reaction is not adjusted to the different error messages.

Since there is no classification of the different error patterns on the system side and the application environment is not applied, the "worst case scenario" principle must be followed. A state identified by the monitoring function as faulty results in the sensor signal not being able to be used or in the system being identified as having a permanent sensor error and consequently a system defect. As a result, interferences that do not signify lasting sensor damage and may even be attributed to rare yet possible operating states are generally penalized too strictly. This limits the performance or sensitivity of the systems or increases the probability of a possibly unnecessary control unit field outage.

Therefore, an error word transmitted from the sensor to the system, preferably a processor of the control unit, and having a combination of different error modes and thereby transmitting precise information regarding the error type and cause to the system is used in accordance with the present

invention. The system consequently reacts to the error word in an adapted manner.

Error patterns due to various error and interference causes are already recorded in the development and application phase of restraint system electronics systems. This makes it possible to complete a look-up table. In this context, the particular error pattern may be comprised of an error message or an error word, an error state word, a time duration of the error message, a sensor output signal, and additional sensor signals available in the system as well as status information. The availability of such a look-up table allows the system to react appropriately to the particular error pattern. Error patterns that are unknown or are not secured by testing are penalized as a worst case. Consideration of the particular correctly present vehicle environment allows a further increase in the detection precision of errors and interference causes.

A practical requirement for implementing the present invention is a digital sensor interface. Only in this manner are a greater number of different errors and interference modes or patterns and also combinations thereof that occur in a sensor able to be transmitted to the system. The bi-directional SPI (serial peripheral interface) is used as an example in this instance.

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of the control unit of the present invention. Located in a control unit 10 is a sensor 1, which is connected to a processor 7 via a digital line 6. Processor 7 is connected via a data input/output to a memory 8. Processor 7 is connected via a data output to a restraint system 9. Located in sensor 1 is a sensor element 2 for measuring a measured variable, e.g. of rotation rates or rotational accelerations. The sensor element may be in particular a micromechanical sensor structure. Sensor element 2 is connected to a functional and monitoring component 3, in

which the analog-digital conversion of the sensor signal, in some instances the drive and regulation of the sensor element, and the internal sensor monitoring functions are performed. Functional and monitoring component 3 is connected via a data output to a transmitter component 4. Transmitter component 4 is connected to digital line 6, which is configured here as an SPI (serial peripheral interface) line. One or more sensors 5, the signals of which are transmitted via the SPI and are also applied to the error pattern, may also be connected to the SPI.

Figure 4 shows which measured values are processed here. Specific rotation-rate sensor error words 17 transmitted as 8-bit words are used to generate the error pattern. A variable 18 provides the particular time duration of the individual error messages. A variable 19 provides the sensor value determined via sensor element 2. This is also monitored for operability. A variable 20 is used if applicable to process the variables from other sensors 5. They may also provide a type of plausibility for the error message. Variable 21 provides other status information regarding the restraint system or other vehicle components. These are also applied to the error pattern generation. These input variables are then used by evaluation module 4 via a stored look-up table, i.e. a matrix, to generate an error pattern. The input parameters determine the rows and columns of the matrix and then result in the generation of the error pattern in block 22, the system then reacting to this in block 23 after transmission to processor 7. Processor 7 is also designed via its memory 8 for decoding the error pattern so that it is clear to processor 7 which errors resulted in this error pattern. If there are error patterns that are not known in processor 7, a worst case is assumed, i.e., sensor failure. As a function of this, the processor detects failure of the subsystem affected by this sensor failure and introduces corresponding measures.

Figure 3 schematically shows such a matrix designated here as the look-up table. The input parameters are in columns 14 and 13 and the error patterns that belong to these input parameters are then in field 15.

- 5 Figure 2 schematically shows a data frame of 16 bits that is divided into a first part 12 and a second part 11. Error word 11 (MONI) is transmitted in second part 11. Two error words may be transmitted here. Error word 1 is read out via read command 1, and error word 2 is read out via read command 2.
- 10 Figure 5 shows a flow chart of the method of the present invention. As shown above, the error pattern is generated in method step 24 on the basis of input parameters 17 through 21 in block 16 using a look-up table according to Figure 3. The error pattern is then transmitted in method step 25 to
- 15 processor 7. The processor reacts to this error pattern in method step 26.

- Sensor 1 may alternatively be located outside of control unit 10. Instead of only one sensor 1, a plurality of sensors of different types may also be used and monitored. In addition to
- 20 the restraint system addressed here, other vehicle systems are also suitable for this invention, e.g. a vehicle dynamics system or navigation system.